



National IT center of the Kyrgyz Republic

July 13, 2012
EV/2012-097

**Draft Ex-Post Evaluation Report for
“IT Human Resource Development in the Kyrgyz Republic (National IT Center)”**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Firstly, I thank you for your kind cooperation with the ex-post evaluation mission for the **IT Human Resource Development in the Kyrgyz Republic (National IT Center)**.

We are herewith sending you the draft ex-post evaluation report and the result of the rating of the captioned project as attached. The evaluation report and the result of rating will be open to the public early next year via the JICA webpage in Japanese and English. Russian version of the report is just for your reference.

Please kindly review the contents of the draft report and send us your comments in English if any by **July 27, 2012**. If no comments are submitted by the above date, the attached report may be regarded as the final version.

We appreciate your kind understanding and cooperation with the evaluation activities thus far and hope that this evaluation report will help the implementation of future projects.

Very truly yours,

Hiromichi MURAOKA
Director
Evaluation Division1, Evaluation Department
Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

CC:

- Prime Minister Office of the Kyrgyz Republic
- Ministry of Finance of the Kyrgyz Republic
- Ministry of Transport and Communication of the Kyrgyz Republic
- Representative, JICA Kyrgyz Republic Office
- Director, Central Asia and the Caucasus Division, East and Central Asia and the Caucasus Department, JICA Head Office

Attachment 1: Result of the rating
Attachment 2: Draft Evaluation Report
Attachment 3: Rating Method

**Result of Rating for:
“PROJECT NAME”**

| Item | Rating |
|------------------------|----------|
| Relevance | 3 |
| Effectiveness (Impact) | 3 |
| Efficiency | 2 |
| Sustainability | 2 |
| Overall Rating | B |

***Explanation of Rating for Ex-Post Evaluations**

JICA assigns four levels of rating to projects, A (highly satisfactory), B (satisfactory), C (partially satisfactory), and D (unsatisfactory). In assigning ratings, projects are evaluated individually on their (1) relevance, (2) effectiveness (impacts), (3) efficiency, and (4) sustainability and assigned three levels of sub-ratings as ③(high), ②(fair) and ①(low).

Not only do the ratings express the evaluation results in an easily intelligible manner, but they are also devices that all parties involved can understand and use to make improvements which are based on the development projects' quantitative evaluations and other evaluation results, including in the process by which those results were achieved.